

3 VIRGINIA SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION BOARD

4 Establishment of new Resource Management Plan Regulations (4VAC50-70-10 et seq.)

5  
6 CHAPTER 70  
7 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLANS  
8

9 **4VAC50-70-10. Definitions.**

10 The following words and terms when used in this chapter shall have the following meanings  
11 unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

12 "Assessment" means an onsite review of a management unit.

13 "Best management practice" or "BMP" means structural and nonstructural practices that  
14 manage soil loss, nutrient losses, or other pollutant sources to minimize pollution of water  
15 resources and improve water quality.

16 "Board" means the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board.

17 "Corrective action agreement" means a written agreement that guides the owner or operator  
18 in the steps needed and the specific remedies required to return to compliance with the  
19 minimum standards of a resource management plan.

20 "Department" means the Department of Conservation and Recreation.

21 "Management unit" means one or more agricultural fields or United States Department of  
22 Agriculture Farm Service Agency tracts under the control of the owner or operator and identified  
23 as the appropriate unit for RMP implementation. The management unit may consist of multiple  
24 fields and tracts or an entire agricultural operation.

25 "NRCS" means the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources  
26 Conservation Service.

27 "Operator" means a person who exercises managerial control over the management unit.

28 "Owner" means a person who owns land included in a management unit.

29 "Person" means an individual, corporation, partnership, association, state, municipality,  
30 commission, or political subdivision of a state, governmental body, any interstate body, or any  
31 other legal entity.

32 "Resource management plan" or "RMP" means a plan developed and implemented  
33 pursuant to the standards established by this chapter.

34 "Review authority" means a soil and water conservation district or the department where no  
35 soil and water conservation district exists that is authorized under this chapter to determine the  
36 adequacy of a resource management plan and perform other duties specified by this chapter.

37 "RMP developer" means an individual who meets the qualifications established by this  
38 chapter to prepare or revise a resource management plan.

39 "Soil and water conservation district" or "district" means a political subdivision of the  
40 Commonwealth organized in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 5 (§ 10.1-500 et seq.) of  
41 Title 10.1 of the Code of Virginia.

42 "Technical Review Committee" or "TRC" means a committee established by a soil and water  
43 conservation district board to review RMPs and provide recommendations to the soil and water  
44 conservation district board regarding RMPs. A TRC may include, but not be limited to, the  
45 following members: soil and water conservation district directors, associates, and personnel;

46 Virginia Cooperative Extension personnel; department nutrient management specialists; and  
47 such other technical resources available to the district.

48 "Total maximum daily load" or "TMDL" means a calculation of the maximum amount of a  
49 pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet water quality standards and an allocation of  
50 that amount to the pollutant's sources. A TMDL includes wasteload allocations for point source  
51 discharges and load allocations for nonpoint sources or natural background, or both, and must  
52 include a margin of safety and account for seasonal variations.

53

54 **4VAC50-70-20. Purpose and authority.**

55 Pursuant to Article 1.1 (§ 10.1-104.7 et seq.) of Title 10.1 of the Code of Virginia, this  
56 chapter is adopted to clarify and specify the criteria that must be included in a resource  
57 management plan and the processes by which a Certificate of RMP Implementation is issued  
58 and maintained. Except as provided for in 4VAC50-70-30, agricultural landowners or operators  
59 who fully implement and maintain the applicable components of their resource management  
60 plans, in accordance with the criteria for such plans set out in § 10.1-104.8 of the Code of  
61 Virginia and any requirements of this chapter, shall be deemed to be in full compliance with any  
62 load allocation contained in a TMDL established under § 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act  
63 addressing benthic, bacteria, nutrient, or sediment impairments; any requirements of the  
64 Virginia Chesapeake Bay TMDL Watershed Implementation Plan; and applicable state water  
65 quality requirements for nutrients and sediment.

66

67 **4VAC50-70-30. Applicability of other laws and regulations.**

68 Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as limiting the applicability of other laws,  
69 regulations, or permits including, but not limited to, a Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination  
70 System Permit, a Virginia Pollution Abatement Permit, a nutrient management plan otherwise  
71 required by law, any requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, and any  
72 requirements of the Agricultural Stewardship Act.

73

74 **4VAC50-70-40. Minimum standards of a resource management plan.**

75 A. Pursuant to Article 1.1 (§ 10.1-104.7 et seq.) of Title 10.1 of the Code of Virginia, a  
76 resource management plan requires the implementation of BMPs sufficient to implement the  
77 Virginia Chesapeake Bay TMDL Watershed Implementation Plan and other local TMDL water  
78 quality requirements of the Commonwealth. Pursuant to subdivision B 5 of § 10.1-104.8 of the  
79 Code of Virginia, a RMP shall address all of the following BMP requirements when applicable to  
80 the management unit and needed based upon an on-farm assessment of the following land  
81 uses:

82 1. For all cropland or specialty crops:

83 a. A nutrient management plan that meets the specifications of the Nutrient  
84 Management Training and Certification Regulations (4VAC5-15);

85 b. A forest or grass buffer between cropland and perennial streams shall be  
86 consistent with NRCS standards and specifications, except no buffer shall be less  
87 than a minimum width of 35 feet as measured from the top of the channel bank to the  
88 edge of the field to meet water quality objectives;

89 c. A soil conservation plan that achieves a maximum soil loss rate to "T" as defined  
90 by NRCS and such BMPs necessary to address gross erosion when it is present as  
91 gullies or other severely eroding conditions; and

92 d. Cover crops, when needed to address nutrient management and soil loss  
93 requirements, that provide for reportable practices which meet best management  
94 practice specifications as determined by NRCS or the Virginia Agricultural Best  
95 Management Practices Cost-Share Program.

96 2. For all hayland:

97 a. A nutrient management plan that meets the specifications of the Nutrient  
98 Management Training and Certification Regulations (4VAC5-15);

99 b. A forest or grass buffer between cropland and perennial streams shall be  
100 consistent with NRCS standards and specifications, except no buffer shall be less  
101 than a minimum width of 35 feet as measured from the top of the channel bank to the  
102 edge of the field to meet water quality objectives; and

103 c. A soil conservation plan that achieves a maximum soil loss rate to "T" as defined  
104 by NRCS and such BMPs necessary to address gross erosion when it is present as  
105 gullies or other severely eroding conditions.

106 3. For all pasture:

107 a. A nutrient management plan that meets the specifications of the Nutrient  
108 Management Training and Certification Regulations (4VAC5-15);

109 b. A pasture management plan or soil conservation plan that achieves a maximum  
110 soil loss rate of "T" as defined by NRCS and such BMPs necessary to address gross  
111 erosion when it is present as gullies or other severely eroding conditions; and

112 c. A system that limits or prevents livestock access to perennial streams requires  
113 that:

114 (1) Any fencing or exclusion system provides year-round livestock restriction to  
115 perennial streams;

116 (2) A forest or grass buffer between the exclusion system and a perennial stream  
117 shall be consistent with NRCS standards and specifications, except no buffer shall  
118 be less than a minimum width of 35 feet as measured from the top of the channel  
119 bank to the exclusion system to meet water quality objectives; and

120 (3) Provisions that are made for access through stream crossings and livestock  
121 watering systems are designed to NRCS standards and specifications and are  
122 determined necessary by the RMP developer.

123 B. Other BMPs approved by the department may be applied to achieve the minimum  
124 standards of this section beyond those already identified by NRCS or within the Virginia  
125 Agricultural Best Management Practices Cost-Share Program.

126 C. The department shall evaluate the minimum standards of this section to determine their  
127 adequacy when revisions occur to a load allocation contained in a TMDL established under  
128 § 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act addressing benthic, bacteria, nutrient, or sediment  
129 impairments; requirements of the Virginia Chesapeake Bay TMDL Watershed Implementation  
130 Plan; and applicable state water quality requirements for nutrients and sediment. Changes to  
131 the minimum standards by the board may result in the use of BMPs identified in the  
132 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model, identified in the Virginia Chesapeake Bay TMDL  
133 Watershed Implementation Plan, or approved by the department.

134  
135 **4VAC50-70-50. Components of a resource management plan.**

136 A. Pursuant to subdivision B 3 of § 10.1-104.8 of the Code of Virginia, an assessment shall  
137 be performed by the RMP developer or by an individual authorized by the RMP developer to  
138 perform work on his behalf and shall gather and evaluate the following information:

- 139 1. Information on the location of the management unit, including geographic coordinates,
- 140 United States Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency tract number or numbers,
- 141 if applicable, or the locality tax parcel identification number or numbers;
- 142 2. Description of the management unit, including acreage, water features,
- 143 environmentally sensitive features, erosion issues, and agricultural activity;
- 144 3. Contact information for the owner or operator who has requested the RMP, including
- 145 name, address, and telephone number;
- 146 4. Authorization from the owner or operator for the RMP developer, or his designee, for
- 147 right of entry and access to property specified within the management unit and
- 148 authorization to obtain copies of any conservation or water quality plans necessary for
- 149 the assessment;
- 150 5. Copies of nutrient management plans, soil conservation plans from NRCS, RMPs,
- 151 and any other conservation or water quality plan that includes the implementation of
- 152 BMPs; and
- 153 6. Information on the location and status of all BMPs and other alternative measures
- 154 applicable to the management unit that are currently implemented.

155 B. Following the assessment provided in subsection A of this section, the RMP developer  
156 shall prepare the RMP in a format established by the department or in a format approved by the  
157 board as equivalent that contains the following components:

- 158 1. A determination of the adequacy of existing BMPs, conservation plans, and water
- 159 quality plans in meeting the minimum standards set out in 4VAC50-70-40;
- 160 2. A complete list of BMPs, developed as a result of the assessment required in
- 161 subsection A of this section, that may be utilized to meet the minimum standards set out
- 162 in 4VAC50-70-40;
- 163 3. A complete list of the BMPs that the owner or operator agrees to implement or
- 164 maintain to meet the minimum standards set out in 4VAC50-70-40;
- 165 4. A confirmation of BMPs that achieve the minimum standards set out in 4VAC50-70-
- 166 40;
- 167 5. A schedule for the implementation of the BMPs;
- 168 6. An inclusion of any current nutrient management plans, soil conservation plans, and
- 169 any other conservation or water quality plans that include the implementation of BMPs;
- 170 and
- 171 7. Other information collected pursuant to subsection A of this section.

172 C. Certification.

- 173 1. The RMP developer must certify that the RMP is true and correct in his professional
- 174 judgment.
- 175 2. The RMP must be signed by the owner or operator affirming that he:
- 176 a. Is the responsible individual to be implementing the RMP in its entirety;
- 177 b. Shall adhere to the RMP;
- 178 c. Shall allow the review authority to conduct inspections of properties within the
- 179 management unit as needed to ensure the adequacy of the RMP in accordance with
- 180 4VAC50-70-70;
- 181 d. Shall notify the RMP developer within 60 days of potential material changes to the
- 182 management unit that may require revision of the plan pursuant to 4VAC50-70-60;
- 183 and

184 e. Shall notify the review authority of a complete change in owner or operator of the  
185 management unit or units under the RMP. If a management unit falls within one or  
186 more soil and water conservation districts, the owner or operator shall contact the  
187 district containing the greatest land area of the management unit.

188

189 **4VAC50-70-60. Revisions to a resource management plan.**

190 A. Upon notification of the review authority by an owner or operator of a change in owner or  
191 operator of the management unit with a signed RMP, in accordance with 4VAC50-70-50 C 2 e,  
192 where it involves the complete transfer of one or more RMPs and any Certificate or Certificates  
193 of RMP Implementation previously issued by the department for such RMPs:

194 1. The review authority shall contact the new owner or operator within 60 days of the  
195 new owner or operator assuming control of the management unit regarding  
196 implementation of the RMP and any necessary revisions.

197 2. Following consultation with the review authority, the new owner or operator may elect  
198 to:

199 a. Implement and maintain the provisions of the existing RMP. The new owner or  
200 operator must sign the RMP in accordance with 4VAC50-70-50 C. If a Certificate of  
201 RMP Implementation has been issued to the prior owner or operator, the certificate  
202 shall be transferred by the department to the new owner or operator upon notification  
203 by the review authority. The transferred certificate shall be valid for the balance of  
204 time remaining since it was originally issued by the department;

205 b. Contact the RMP developer when changes in the operation are planned by the  
206 new owner or operator or are otherwise required by this chapter. The new owner or  
207 operator may request the RMP developer to revise the RMP as necessary to fulfill  
208 BMP requirements pursuant to 4VAC50-70-50 and the administrative requirements  
209 of subsection D of this section; or

210 c. Choose not to continue implementing the RMP. If a Certificate of RMP  
211 Implementation for the management unit has been issued, it shall be revoked by the  
212 department.

213 B. Upon notification of the RMP developer by the owner or operator of the management unit  
214 with a signed RMP, in accordance with 4VAC50-70-50 C, that changes in the management unit  
215 or implementation of the RMP may create needs for revision, the RMP developer shall review  
216 the RMP within 30 days to determine if material changes to the management unit require a  
217 revision of the RMP in accordance with the following:

218 1. Material changes to the management unit that may require a revision of the RMP  
219 include:

220 a. A conversion from one type of agricultural operation to another;

221 b. A change in the schedule and type of BMPs implemented pursuant to 4VAC50-70-  
222 50;

223 c. An increase or decrease in production acreage that materially impacts the  
224 management unit's ability to meet the minimum standards set out in 4VAC50-70-40;

225 d. An increase or decrease in livestock population that materially impacts the  
226 management unit's ability to meet the minimum standards set out in 4VAC50-70-40;  
227 or

228 e. Any other change the RMP developer identifies that would materially impact the  
229 management unit's ability to meet the minimum standards set out in 4VAC50-70-40.

230 2. The RMP developer will determine if revision of the RMP is required. When the RMP  
231 developer determines that revision of the existing RMP is not necessary, the RMP  
232 developer shall provide such determination to the requesting owner or operator in  
233 writing. Such documentation shall be available upon inspection by the review authority.  
234 When the RMP developer determines that revision of the existing RMP is necessary, the  
235 owner or operator may elect to:

236 a. Request the RMP developer to revise the RMP as necessary to fulfill RMP  
237 requirements pursuant to 4VAC50-70-50 and the administrative requirements of  
238 subsection D of this section; or

239 b. Choose not to continue implementing a RMP whereupon the RMP for the  
240 management unit shall no longer be valid. The RMP developer shall notify the review  
241 authority and the department in writing of this decision by the owner or operator. If a  
242 Certificate of RMP Implementation for the management unit has been issued, it shall  
243 be revoked by the department.

244 C. When an owner or operator does not hold a Certificate of RMP Implementation for an  
245 RMP that has been approved by the review authority, revision of the RMP is required when a  
246 new or modified watershed implementation plan is issued for the Chesapeake Bay or a new or  
247 modified local approved TMDL is issued that assigns a load to agricultural uses. An RMP  
248 covering land with waters that drain to such TMDL shall be deemed sufficient when the RMP  
249 has been revised to address the new or modified TMDL and the owner or operator agrees to  
250 implement the revised RMP, except as provided in subsection D of this section.

251 D. When an owner or operator holds a Certificate of RMP Implementation that has not  
252 expired, revision of the RMP specified in subsection C of this section is not required. In this case  
253 the owner or operator may continue operation of the RMP without revision due to a new or  
254 modified watershed implementation plan for the Chesapeake Bay or a new or modified local  
255 approved TMDL for the lifespan of the Certificate of RMP Implementation so long as the owner  
256 or operator is deemed to be fully implementing the RMP.

257 E. When an owner or operator with a revised RMP fulfills all requirements pursuant to this  
258 section and 4VAC50-70-70, and the owner or operator holds a Certificate of RMP  
259 Implementation that has not expired for the management unit addressed by the revised RMP,  
260 the owner or operator may request that the department revoke the existing Certificate of RMP  
261 Implementation and issue a new Certificate of RMP Implementation. The department shall  
262 evaluate and respond to all requests. Upon verification that all requirements have been  
263 satisfied, the department shall issue a new Certificate of RMP Implementation in a timely  
264 manner and ensure that no owner or operator is found out of compliance with any requirements  
265 of this chapter due to any delays in the department's issuance of a new Certificate of RMP  
266 Implementation pursuant to this subsection even if the original certificate expires during this  
267 issuance time period.

268 F. Revision of an RMP by an RMP developer requires:

269 1. If a Certificate of RMP Implementation has not been issued, the revised RMP shall be  
270 provided to the review authority and shall be subject to all review requirements set out in  
271 4VAC50-70-70 and shall be subject to the requirements for issuance of a Certificate of  
272 RMP Implementation pursuant to 4VAC50-70-80.

273 2. If a Certificate of RMP Implementation has been issued by the department and its  
274 duration has not expired, such existing Certificate of RMP Implementation shall remain  
275 valid for the balance of time remaining since it was originally issued by the department or  
276 a new Certificate of RMP Implementation may be issued where appropriate in  
277 accordance with subsection E of this section.

278 3. An existing or new owner or operator shall sign a revised RMP pursuant to 4VAC50-  
279 70-50 C.

280 4. When a valid Certificate of RMP Implementation has been issued by the department  
281 for the management unit, the RMP developer shall provide the review authority and the  
282 department with a copy of a revised RMP within 30 days of completion of the revised  
283 plan.

284

285 **4VAC50-70-70. Review of a resource management plan.**

286 A. Upon completion of a new or revised RMP in accordance with 4VAC50-70-50 and  
287 4VAC50-70-60, the owner or operator or the RMP developer on behalf of the owner or operator,  
288 shall submit the RMP to the review authority.

289 B. Each soil and water conservation district shall establish a Technical Review Committee  
290 (TRC). RMPs received by a soil and water conservation district shall be referred to the TRC for  
291 review to ensure the RMP fully meets the minimum standards set forth in 4VAC50-70-40 and  
292 the components specified in 4VAC50-70-50. Within 90 days of receipt of the RMP, the soil and  
293 water conservation district shall notify the owner or operator and the RMP developer in writing if  
294 the RMP fulfills such requirements. An RMP that fails to fulfill such requirements shall be  
295 returned to the RMP developer noting all deficiencies. A revised RMP may be resubmitted once  
296 the noted deficiencies have been satisfactorily addressed. Revised submittals shall be reviewed  
297 and a response regarding RMP sufficiency or a listing of RMP deficiencies provided within 45  
298 days of receipt.

299 C. If an RMP is located within multiple soil and water conservation districts, each TRC will  
300 review the portion of the plan applicable to the management unit within their district, either in  
301 consultation or independently of each other. The soil and water conservation district with the  
302 largest amount of acreage under the RMP has lead responsibility for (i) coordinating the review  
303 among multiple districts; (ii) resolving disputes; (iii) corresponding with the owner or operator  
304 and RMP developer regarding the RMP review; and (iv) when appropriate, submitting required  
305 documentation to the department to support issuance of a Certificate of RMP Implementation.

306 D. RMPs received by the department where no local soil and water conservation district  
307 exists must fully meet minimum standards set forth in 4VAC50-70-40 and the components  
308 specified in 4VAC50-70-50 and shall be reviewed by the department. Within 90 days of receipt  
309 of the RMP, the department shall notify the owner or operator and the RMP developer if the  
310 RMP fulfills such requirements. An RMP that fails to fulfill such requirements shall be returned to  
311 the RMP developer noting all deficiencies. A revised RMP may be resubmitted once the noted  
312 deficiencies have been satisfactorily addressed. Revised submittals shall be reviewed and a  
313 response regarding RMP sufficiency or a listing of RMP deficiencies provided within 45 days of  
314 receipt.

315 E. When an RMP is determined by the review authority to be insufficient to meet minimum  
316 standards set forth in 4VAC50-70-40 and the components specified in 4VAC50-70-50, such  
317 review authority shall work with the owner or operator and the RMP developer to revise the  
318 RMP.

319 F. Where an RMP is deemed sufficient, the notification issued to the owner or operator and  
320 the RMP developer by the review authority shall include approval of the plan and its  
321 implementation in accordance with subsection B or D of this section, whichever is applicable.

322 G. When an owner or operator is aggrieved by an action of the review authority pursuant to  
323 this section, the owner or operator shall have a right to appeal in accordance with 4VAC50-70-  
324 110.

325

326 **4VAC50-70-80. Issuance of a Certificate of Resource Management Plan Implementation.**

327 A. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of RMP Implementation for a management unit,  
328 confirmation shall be made by the RMP developer that no revision of the RMP is required in  
329 accordance with 4VAC50-70-60 and as such is adequate, and verification of the full  
330 implementation of the RMP shall be completed. The owner or operator shall request the  
331 verification of RMP implementation by the review authority.

332 B. The request to the review authority for verification in a format provided by the department  
333 shall include the following:

334 1. A complete copy of the RMP including any referenced plans;

335 2. Authorization for review authority employees to conduct an onsite inspection of the  
336 management unit to ensure the RMP is fully implemented; and

337 3. Authorization upon the issuance of a Certificate of RMP Implementation for review  
338 authority employees and the department to conduct onsite inspections of the  
339 management unit to ensure the continued implementation of, maintenance of, and  
340 compliance with the RMP in accordance with 4VAC50-70-90.

341 C. If based on onsite verification and a review of referenced plans by the local soil and water  
342 conservation district where the district is the review authority the RMP is determined to be  
343 adequate and fully implemented in accordance with subsection A of this section, the soil and  
344 water conservation district board shall affirm such adequacy and implementation and submit the  
345 required documentation to the department for action. Upon receiving such documentation  
346 supporting that the plan is adequate and has been fully implemented, the department shall issue  
347 a Certificate of RMP Implementation.

348 D. Where the department is the review authority, the department shall determine adequacy  
349 and full implementation of the RMP in accordance with subsection A of this section through  
350 onsite verification and a review of referenced plans. If based on the onsite verification and a  
351 review of referenced plans, the RMP is determined to be adequate and fully implemented, the  
352 department shall affirm such implementation by issuing a Certificate of RMP Implementation.

353 E. If the resource management plan is not adequate or has not been fully implemented, the  
354 review authority shall provide the owner or operator with written documentation that specifies  
355 the deficiencies of the RMP within 30 days following the field review of the RMP. The owner or  
356 operator may correct the named deficiencies and request verification of RMP adequacy or  
357 implementation at such time as the shortcomings have been addressed.

358 F. A Certificate of RMP Implementation shall be valid for a period of nine years.

359 G. An owner or operator who holds a Certificate of RMP Implementation that has not  
360 expired shall not be required to revise the RMP when the issuance of a new or modified  
361 watershed implementation plan for the Chesapeake Bay TMDL or a new or modified local  
362 approved TMDL impacts any portion of the management unit during the lifespan of the  
363 Certificate of RMP Implementation so long as the owner or operator is deemed to be fully  
364 implementing the RMP.

365 H. Upon the expiration of the Certificate of RMP Implementation, a new RMP may be  
366 prepared by a plan developer for the management unit upon request by the owner or operator.  
367 The RMP must conform with all existing TMDL implementation plans applicable to the  
368 management unit to include the Chesapeake Bay and any local approved TMDL, which assign  
369 a load to agricultural uses and impact any portion of the management unit. The plan developer  
370 shall ensure the new RMP complies with requirements set forth in 4VAC50-70-40.

371 I. The department shall maintain a public registry on the agency's website of all current  
372 Certificates of RMP Implementation in accordance with the provisions of subsection E of § 10.1-  
373 104.7 of the Code of Virginia.



374

375 **4VAC50-70-90. Inspections.**

376 A. Each management unit that has been issued a Certificate of RMP Implementation shall  
377 be subject to periodic onsite inspections to be performed by the review authority. In addition the  
378 department, when it is not the review authority but deems it appropriate, can conduct  
379 inspections to ensure the continued implementation of, maintenance of, and compliance with  
380 the RMP.

381 B. Onsite inspections shall occur no less than once every three years but not more than  
382 annually on lands where an active Certificate of RMP Implementation has been issued provided  
383 that no deficiencies have been noted pursuant to this section that may require more frequent  
384 inspections or re-inspections.

385 C. As part of an inspection, an owner or operator shall provide any documents needed to  
386 verify the implementation of the RMP, any documents pertaining to revision of the RMP when  
387 applicable, and any other referenced plans as applicable.

388 D. Upon the completion of the inspection, an inspection report shall be completed in a  
389 format provided by the department to document the implementation of the RMP on the  
390 management unit. A copy of the inspection report shall be provided to the department within 10  
391 business days following the date of inspection with a copy to the owner or operator when  
392 inspections are performed by a soil and water conservation district. The inspection report shall  
393 include:

394 1. Confirmation of all BMPs implemented, operated, and maintained with a notation of  
395 changes in the operation of any BMPs included in the RMP; and

396 2. Any identified deficiencies that may include any components of the RMP that have not  
397 been satisfactorily implemented, components that need to be renewed, and any changes  
398 to the management unit that may need to be addressed through revision of the RMP.

399 E. If deficiencies are noted based upon the inspection, the department shall proceed  
400 pursuant to 4VAC50-70-100.

401 F. All inspections or re-inspections conducted in accordance with this chapter shall occur  
402 only after 48 hours of prior notice to the owner or operator unless otherwise authorized by the  
403 owner or operator.

404

405 **4VAC50-70-100. Compliance.**

406 A. If deficiencies are identified during an inspection conducted in accordance with 4VAC50-  
407 70-90, following review of such deficiencies the department shall provide a written notice to the  
408 owner or operator within 30 days of receipt of the inspection report. The written notice shall  
409 include a list of the noted deficiencies that need to be addressed to meet full implementation of  
410 the RMP.

411 B. Within 90 days of the written notice being issued to the owner or operator, a corrective  
412 action agreement in a format provided by the department, that may include revisions to the  
413 RMP, shall be developed by the RMP developer in consultation with the owner or operator,  
414 signed by the owner or operator, and submitted to the department for consideration. The  
415 corrective action agreement shall include an implementation schedule to correct the deficiencies  
416 found during the inspection. The department shall review the corrective action agreement  
417 including any revisions to the RMP within 30 days following receipt. The department shall  
418 consult with the review authority. If the corrective action agreement, including any revisions to  
419 the RMP, is determined by the department to be reasonable and satisfactory, the department  
420 shall convey such determination to the owner or operator in writing within 30 days following  
421 receipt.

422 C. If the department determines that the corrective action agreement, including any  
423 revisions to the RMP, does not satisfactorily address deficiencies documented from an  
424 inspection conducted pursuant to 4VAC50-70-90, the department shall document such  
425 deficiencies in writing to the owner or operator within 30 days following receipt of the corrective  
426 action agreement. A revised corrective action agreement may be submitted once the noted  
427 deficiencies have been satisfactorily addressed.

428 D. If the department and the owner or operator are unable to concur on a final corrective  
429 action agreement within 90 days of the submission of the initial corrective action agreement to  
430 the department or such additional time that is acceptable to the department, the department  
431 shall revoke the owner's or operator's Certificate of RMP Implementation after an informal fact  
432 finding proceeding held in accordance with § 2.2-4019 of the Code of Virginia.

433 E. If it is determined by the department through a re-inspection that an owner or operator  
434 has failed to fully implement the agreed upon corrective action agreement, the department shall  
435 revoke the owner's or operator's Certificate of RMP Implementation for the corrective action  
436 agreement. Such re-inspection shall be performed by the department or by the review authority  
437 when directed by the department.

438 F. At any time, the owner or operator may provide written notice to the department  
439 requesting that the Certificate of RMP Implementation be revoked.

440

441 **4VAC50-70-110. Appeals.**

442 A. An owner or operator that has been aggrieved by any action of a soil and water  
443 conservation district shall have a right to appeal to the department within 30 days of issuance of  
444 the district's decision. The department shall make its decision on an appeal in accordance with  
445 the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq. of the Code of Virginia). In making its  
446 decision on an appeal, the department will hold an informal fact finding proceeding in  
447 accordance with § 2.2-4019 of the Code of Virginia.

448 B. Any party, including but not limited to a district, an owner or operator, or a RMP developer  
449 aggrieved by and claiming the unlawfulness of a case decision of the department shall have a  
450 right to appeal to the board in accordance with the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et  
451 seq. of the Code of Virginia). In making its decision on an appeal, the board will hold an informal  
452 fact finding proceeding in accordance with § 2.2-4019 of the Code of Virginia.

453 C. Any party, including but not limited to a district, an owner or operator, or a RMP  
454 developer, aggrieved by and claiming the unlawfulness of a case decision of the board shall  
455 have a right to appeal to a court of competent jurisdiction in accordance with the Administrative  
456 Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq. of the Code of Virginia).

457 D. Revocation of a Certificate of RMP Implementation issued pursuant to 4VAC50-70-80  
458 shall be suspended pending any appeals.

459

460 **4VAC50-70-120. Reporting.**

461 A. BMP data collection and reporting shall occur:

462 1. When a RMP assessment is conducted by a soil and water conservation district or  
463 when data is made available to a district by an owner or operator following an  
464 assessment performed by a RMP developer or individual authorized by them to perform  
465 an assessment pursuant to 4VAC50-70-50;

466 2. Upon changes or revisions to a RMP pursuant to 4VAC50-70-60;

467 3. Upon verification of the full implementation of the RMP as required by 4VAC50-70-80;

468 4. When inspections are conducted pursuant to 4VAC50-70-90; and

469 5. Upon any other opportunities when verification of BMP implementation becomes  
470 available.

471 B. BMP data collected in accordance with subsection A of this section shall be entered in  
472 the Virginia Agricultural BMP Tracking Program or any subsequent automated tracking systems  
473 made available to soil and water conservation districts by the department.

474 C. BMP data entry by soil and water conservation districts shall occur throughout the year;  
475 however, the annual reporting period shall begin July 1 of one year and end June 30 of the  
476 following year. Districts shall ensure all collected data is fully entered in the data collection  
477 system by July 31 following the close of the annual reporting period.

478 D. Any personal or proprietary information collected pursuant to Article 1.1 (§ 10.1-104.7 et  
479 seq.) of Title 10.1 of the Code of Virginia shall be exempt from the Virginia Freedom of  
480 Information Act (§ 2.2-3700 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) and fully comply with all provisions  
481 of § 10.1-104.7 of the Code of Virginia.

482 E. The department, in accordance with subsection D of this section, shall make use of RMP  
483 BMP data for purposes that include progress reporting for the Chesapeake Bay TMDL  
484 watershed implementation plan; other local approved TMDLs; inclusion in the report required by  
485 § 2.2-220 of the Code of Virginia; and other reports required of the department or generated by  
486 the agency.

487

488 **4VAC50-70-130. Review of duties performed by soil and water conservation districts.**

489 A. The department shall periodically conduct a comprehensive review of the RMP duties  
490 performed by each soil and water conservation district to evaluate whether requirements set  
491 forth by this chapter have been satisfactorily fulfilled. The department shall develop a schedule  
492 for conducting periodic reviews and evaluations. Each district shall receive a comprehensive  
493 review at least once every five years; however, the department may impose more frequent,  
494 partial, or comprehensive reviews with cause. Such reviews where applicable shall be  
495 coordinated with those being implemented by agency staff for other purposes that may include  
496 annual spot checks of BMPs implemented by districts through the Virginia Agricultural BMP  
497 Cost Share Program.

498 B. If a review conducted by the department indicates that the soil and water conservation  
499 district has not administered, enforced where authorized to do so, or conducted its duties in a  
500 manner that satisfies the requirements set forth within this chapter, the department shall  
501 document such deficiencies and convey the needed corrective actions in writing to the soil and  
502 water conservation district's board of directors within 30 days following the review.

503 C. When the department determines:

504 1. The deficiencies are due to the district's failure to satisfactorily perform the required  
505 duties with the resources at its disposal, the department shall provide close oversight,  
506 guidance, and training as appropriate to enable the district to fully perform the duties  
507 required by this chapter. If after such actions there remains one or more deficiencies that  
508 cannot be resolved to the satisfaction of the department, the department may delay or  
509 withhold funding under its authority and control from the district that is not satisfactorily  
510 performing its RMP duties. Such duties may be assigned to another soil and water  
511 conservation district. Funds withheld from the district with deficiencies may be directed  
512 to the district that is performing the additional RMP duties.

513 2. The deficiencies are due to a work demand generated by the duties required by this  
514 chapter that exceed the district's existing resources, the department shall endeavor to  
515 assist the district in the performance of its duties and in finding a solution to the shortage  
516 of resources.

517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562

**4VAC50-70-140. RMP developer qualifications and certification.**

A. An individual shall be qualified to serve as an RMP developer if the individual:

1. Is certified as a conservation planner by the NRCS and is certified as a nutrient management planner by the department; or
2. Is certified as a nutrient management planner by the department and demonstrates academic and applied proficiencies with and an understanding of all of the following:
  - a. Agricultural conservation planning;
  - b. State and federal environmental laws and regulations and local ordinances;
  - c. State and federal laws and regulations that address the identification and preservation of historic resources;
  - d. Standards and specifications for agricultural conservation practices utilized in Virginia and the ability to plan and implement such practices;
  - e. Soil erosion processes and skill in applying approved erosion prediction technologies including the applicable current United States Department of Agriculture Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation and the Wind Erosion Equation;
  - f. The fundamentals of water quality and nonpoint source pollution, pest management, and fire management;
  - g. Site vulnerability assessment tools; and
  - h. Other proficiencies and understandings identified by the department in consultation with the board.

B. In a format established by the department, such individual shall submit documentation to the department for verification that the requirements of subsection A of this section have been met.

1. Upon receipt, the department shall review the documentation and issue its notification within 60 days. During its review the department shall determine:
  - a. If all required documentation is complete. If incomplete the applicant shall be notified.
  - b. If all requirements have been satisfied. If deficiencies exist the applicant shall be notified.
2. Applicants with deficiencies may submit additional documentation in support of their request to be certified. The department shall review the documentation provided within 30 days to determine its sufficiency.
3. When all requirements of this subsection have been met, the department shall issue to the applicant a Resource Management Plan Developer Certificate.

C. In the event that an individual's proficiency skills or the quality of technical work no longer meet the criteria for RMP developer certification, the individual's certification may be revoked by the department following a seven-day advance notification of the pending action and the holding of an informal fact finding proceeding held in accordance with § 2.2-4019 of the Code of Virginia. The department shall consider any action by NRCS to decertify a certified conservation planner. An RMP developer may appeal a decision of the department to the board in accordance with 4VAC50-70-110.

D. When an individual's RMP developer certificate has been revoked by the department, the basis for the revocation will be provided to the individual by the department. The individual will be informed of the steps necessary to address the deficiencies that led to the revocation and to re-establish certification.

563 E. Revocation of an individual's RMP developer certificate shall not result in revocation of a  
564 Certificate of RMP Implementation of which the RMP developer was party to.

565 F. The department shall maintain a public registry on the agency's website of all individuals  
566 issued a RMP developer certificate and shall note any subsequent revocations or other changes  
567 to the status of RMP developers.

568

569 **4VAC50-70-150. Advancing the adoption of RMPs.**

570 The department and districts shall encourage and promote the adoption of RMPs among  
571 agricultural communities across the Commonwealth.